**Analysis on First Presidential Debate**

*Describe a claim that each candidate makes and how they support it: evidence, facts, anecdotes, personal experience, or statistics. Is their information/evidence valid?*

Republican Presidential Candidate Donald Trump made an incorrect claim about NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement). He mentioned that Mexico takes advantage of the international duties and fees on imported goods. He claimed that Mexico does not pay taxes when products are imported from the United States into the United Mexican States. Additionally, he asserted that the United States pays 60% on taxes of all imported goods into the country. According to the U.S Customs and Border Protection website, Mr. Trump is incorrect because goods brought into Canada, Mexico, and the United States are subject to customs duties and taxes. Each country has its own rate of duties. The amount of duties charged on the imported products depends on the classification of the good, value, and origin.

Democratic Presidential Candidate Hillary Clinton stated that Donald Trump is not an honest employer. She mentioned that a significant amount of staff that worked for Trump in building his casinos, hotels, and gulf courses were not paid after they completed the job. Furthermore, Clinton affirmed that a former Trump employee was sitting in the audience at the presidential debate. The Republican Presidential Candidate responded: “I probably wasn’t satisfied with the job he did. There is a law that protects me.” Therefore, Hillary Clinton supported her argument with evidence and facts because Trump accepted responsibility on that action.

*Describe how each candidate argues their points using logos (logic), ethos (ethics), or pathos (emotion). Do they engage in a combination of these tactics or do they rely too heavily on one or the other?*

Presidential Candidate Clinton argues using a combination of logos, ethics, and pathos. For example, she uses logos when talking about taxing the corporations and the wealthy people in the country to improve the educational system and provide medical healthcare. Furthermore, she uses ethos when talking about providing a decent quality of life to middle class. Finally, Clinton employs pathos when trying to relate to the public and the vast majority of the countries’ population when stating that she comes from a middle class background.

In contrast, Donald Trump’s arguments are based on biased ethos. His ethics viewpoint is narrowed to his own life experiences and benefits. Furthermore, he uses pathos to support his ethos’ based arguments among the countries’ uneducated society. The Republican Presidential Campaign lacks on fundamental logos arguments. As a result, the educated national and international population perceives his campaign as a neo-Nazi movement that is dangerously finding its way into the White House.